Racial Discrimination in the Sharing Economy.

An Economic Analysis of New York City Airbrib Listings

By

The pesent study tests the pesence of acial discinitation by use of the hadric pricing approch On Airbrh, gasts are effectively shopping for temporary hones. Poperties differ in size, layout, location, and induced amerities, and are priced accordingly. The hadric approach determines the price of each of these individual characteristics that are induced in a home by use of a linear regression that predicts the total price (OSullivan 289). One of the earliest examples of the hadric pricing approach in practice is John Kain and John Quigley's (1970) study of housing prices in St. Locis. They regressed market prices of both owner and renter coupled units on 3D characteristics that represented the quality of each housing burdle. These 3D variables included seven measures of the quality of households (i.e. condition of floors, walls, windows, etc.), seven measures of the quality of the structure (i.e. condition of diversitys and vallavays, landcaping etc.), eight measures of the quality of summing properties (i.e. condition of structures, process, etc.) and 17 measures of the quality of the block (i.e. condition azone-n theory, discrimination can impact prices if the parties involved hold tastes for discrimination The present study takes the factor of host race into account in an effort to determine the economic costs of racial discrimination on Airboh, by conducting a similar analysis to that seen in Kain and Q. igley's (1970) study.

Vaices stukes have examined the inpact of discrimination in the shaing economy, particularly in the context of Airbob The recent study by Lee, Hyun Ryu, Lee, Rhee and Suh (2015) examined the inpact of features associated with the sale of Airbob accommutations. The study induced data from 4,178 rooms arrows five major offics in the United States. New York City, Chicago, Los Angeles, San Francisco and Seattle. Incodent on measure the number of sales of each unit over the two north period of data collection, they used the darge in number of reviews on each unit ("review delta") as a proxy for the minimum number of reservations over the time period. They collected data on August 1st and October 1st of 2014 in an effort to capture this darge in reviews. Since sale data is not public, and reviews can only be written after an accommutation is bodied, this data print appears to be an acceptable proxy for sales.

The nodel presented in their study includes a linear regression involving a militude of p hi20 y s 4 cherite er of ry chil Chernses re of kell e meudego factorico ion wije ou reviews on the listing and the membership seriority of the host. Other significant pedictos included whether constitute accommutation included a TV, air conditioner; sharpeo, essentials, cleaning fees and a minimum stay requirement. They did not include the results for the price variable in the study. Although they included a wide variety of social factors, Lee et al. meglected to include information about race of each respective host, so they could not test for racial discrimination with their classet.

Et; Fleischer and Magan (2016) further examined the inpact of social field uses and their inpact on Airbrb listings in their neart study that assessed the role of pescent photos on Airbrb The study ained at answeing the question as to whether or not consumes inferselles? Instructioness from their pescent photos, a process that they describe as "virtual based trust and attractiveness inpacts consumes" decision miling as to whether or not to book an accommodation. In order to conduct this analysis, they collected similar photographs of 70 anateur actors (35 females and 35 males) and constructed mock Airbrb listings for each ore. In an effort to assess the proceived trust who related the 70 actors based on attractiveness and agraent trustworthiness, and 21 unlegature submitted the 70 actors based on attractiveness and appeart trustworthiness, and 21 unlegature submitted the 70 actors based on attractiveness and agraent trustworthiness, and 21 unlegature submitted the 70 actors based on attractiveness and agraent trustworthiness, and 21 unlegature submitted the 70 actors based on attractiveness and agraent trustworthiness, and 21 unlegature submitted the 70 actors based on attractiveness and agraent trustworthiness, and 21 unlegature submitted the 70 actors based on attractiveness and agraent trustworthiness, and 21 unlegature submitted the 70 actors based on attractiveness and agraent trustworthiness, and 21 unlegature submitted the 70 actors based on attractiveness and agraent trustworthiness, and 21 unlegature submitted the 70 actors based on attractiveness and agraent trustworthiness, and 21 unlegature accommodation. Ext. et al. (2016) then gathered 536 Israeli participants from an online parel of 120,000 whethers who selected prefered accommodations from selects of two of the model israely accommodation actions the for the action of the model israely accommodation actions the formation of the participants from a selected prefered accommodation actions action of the model israely accommodation actions actions a

The results of Ert et. al.'s (2016) mixed logit analysis, which estimated the effect of the visual-based trustworthiness and attractiveness of the hosts on the probability that their listings will be selected, confirmed their hypothesis that visual-based trust affects listing choice. The

each host, they employed workers on Amazon Machanical Turk to examine the photo of each host included in the study. The workers cocked the race of each host into one of the following categories: White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, Unclear but Non-White, Multiple Races, Not Asiana 1, and hosts they perceived as White a O They omitted any hosts that did not appear to fit into either of these categories, as well as any hosts for which ace was uncertain

Warg et al. (2015) confirmed Edelman and Luca's (2014) results, which found that minority hosts face discrimination and therefore charge lower prices than White hosts However,

wide valiety of social factors, they do not induce race as a predictor. Since the previously mentioned studies indicated that guests take the race of the hosts into consideration when booking accommodations, Linclude therace of the host as my valiable of interest.

III. Data

In my research, I used a data set of New York City Airbrb listings from Outber 2016 provided by Airdra (Airdra com 2016). Airdra is a company based in the United States that provides Airbrb data and analytics to vacation rental entrepreneus and investors. They track the delay performance of over 2000,000 listings across roughly 5000 cities accord the globe. This data set provided me with the occupancy rates that I media to properly conduct my analyses. The original data set provided by Airdra induced information on 118,530 listings in the New filendy volspace, an iron, harges, a hairdyer, a TV, shanpoo, heating essentials, air conditioning and whether or not the listing is accepting of pets, families and events. The inclusion of these amerities or lack thereof is public on every listing but the dataset provided by Airchardid not include them Incodent or include them, I mediate access each individual listing and determine whether constructed amerity was provided whom are was not dear; were removed from the study. Considering they demed this method adequate, I canied out the same process. I screed through each listing in my detaset and labeled them as having a Write or non-White host, and skipped over any listings for which the race of the host was an bigurus to me, with the goal of reaching 500 total listings. Furthermore, any listings that did not have a picture of the actual host, as well as those depicting miltiple individuals of different ethnicities, were also left out from the dataset. This resulted in a total of relationship with other variables in the analysis. Therefore, I found it unrecessary to include the results of my conclation matrix in the study.

IV. Model

The posent study includes thee linear regression models. The first is a simple hadric picing model matching to the best extent possible that of the Wang et. al. (2015) study. The model predicts the price of each listing and includes the following

lprice = 0+ 1sqrtbeckconsi + swhiteq + 3lnavguestsi + 4lbethconseq + i

Vaiable transformations, descriptions and statistics are possible in Table 1. While this noted may provide some indication as to whether or not greats select their accommodations based on the nace of the host, it includes a wery limited number of variables. In turn, the model presents the potential for omitted variable bias. Omitted variable bias occurs when a pecific variable that is concluted variable bias. Omitted variable bias occurs when a pecific variable that is concluted variable bias. Omitted variable bias occurs when a pecific variable that is concluted variable bias. Omitted variable bias occurs when a pecific variable that is concluted variable bias. Omitted variable bias occurs when a pecific variable that is concluted variables in a statistic south and the possible bias check bias of the coefficient on the included variables. (Stock and Watson 2003). Since each Ailubb accommodation includes a diverse based of cheateristics and amerities. I felt as though the model listed above definit present accompetensive pecktion of price. In order to achieve the potential conitated variables that may influence the price of a listing such as ratings and reviews, might behavior, property types and included amerities. This model contains the following

lprice; = 0 + 1sqrtibedroons; + 2ssrtitesq + 3inanguests; + 4ibethroonssq + 3iOccupency; + 6CreatedDate; + 7OserallRating; + 8NunberofResievs; + 9ResponseRate; + 16Superhost; + 11SecurityDeposit; + 12ClearingFee; + 13EstraPeopleFee; + 14 Minimun Stay, + 15 Number of Photos, + 16 Instabook, + 17 White, + 18 Free Parking + 19 Elevator, + 20 Pets, + 21 HiCheckin, + 28 Family Friendly

this nodel is not perfect. It includes strange variable transformations that I saw as unnecessary for the analysis. The model also provides little detail on the physical characteristics of each listing as well as certain social factors, therefore, I believe it may represent on itted variable bias. In order to remove this bias and improve the test of racial discrimination, I constructed a stronger model including far more social and physical features of each listing that predicts the price of each listing.

The results of my second regression are shown in Table 3 The new nodel presents an R squared of 073977, implying that the regression predicts 7977% of the variability in listing prices. Despite adding many new variables to the prior model, some of the results held. In this regression, whites quard many new variables to the prior model, some of the results held. In this 199% confidence level. According to the model, White hosts charge 721% higher prices than non White hosts for listings with similar characteristics. This figure is still positive and significant, and the extent to which White hosts charge more than non White hosts has increased from the prior model. This suggests that the first model cidim bed present omitted variable bias

belongings, and hosts price these accommodations accordingly. However, guests are willing to psythehighest price for their own, private dwelling

While nost of these results were in line with expectations, others provided suprising results. Aithrib's that provide a laptop filerally workspace were priced 888% lower than those that did not. I cannot undestand why this is the case, as having LaptopFriendly was not conelated with any other variables in the study. Similarly, I found it suprising that a component increase in company rate led to a 45 15% decrease in price. While these low prices might be attacting greats, one would expect these hosts to reise their prices, as greats may view their listings as underpriced.

The results of my thirdregression are displayed in Table 4 In this model, I drarge the dependent variable from lprice to OccupantyRateLTM, as I believe the occupanty rate of a listing over the previous 12 months will provide greater insight into the possibility of racial discrimination on Airbrb than the prices of listings. The adjusted R squared for my model was O3272, implying that the included independent variables explained 3272% of the variation in occupancy rates. The variable of interest in my model, White, was statistically significant and positive, implying that greats take the race of the hosts into account when booking accommodations. Specifically, White hosts received an occupancy rate 618% higher than run White hosts over the previous 12 months. Therefore, I conclude that racial discrimination is present on Airbrb. Other statistically significant positive coefficients included GreateDate; OverallRating: Number/Reviews, ResponseRate, Minimum Stay, Instabods, Red, and Neighborhood3; PopentyType5; andPopentyType6; while lprice, ListingTypes2; ListingTypes3; ExterPerpletive, Windessinternet, andSuitzbleforEvents were all significant and magnitude

the type of vehicle necessary, thus preventing users from selecting drivers based upon their ethnicity. If Airbrb vene to follow this model, users could input specific accommutation factors that they find necessary, such as a certain number of rooms or access to a gym as well as a hosts also achieve higher annel occupancy rates than non White hosts, despite draging higher prices In the future, Airbrb consider dranging their business model, in an effort to prevent racial discrimination and to provide equal opportunities for all users

References

- Beder, GayS. The Economics of Discrimination Chicago US: University of Chicago Ress, 1971. ProQuest chicago Web 7December 2016
- Edelman, BerjaminG., and Michael Luca "Digital Discrimination The Case of Airbrbcom" (2014). Havard Business School. 10Jan 2014 Web 25Mar 2016

Edelman, Benjamin, Michael Luca, and DanSvinsky, "Racial Discrimination in the Sharing Economy, Evidence from a Field Experiment." Harvard Business School, 6 Jan 2016 Web 20 Mar: 2016

- Ert, Eyal, AlizaFleischer, and Nathan Magen "Trust and Reputation in The Sharing Economy: The Role of Personal Photos on Airbrb" Tourism Varagement 55 (2016): 62 73 SSRN Ordine Journals Web 15 Oct 2016
- Kain, John F., and John M. Quigley. "Measuring the Value of Housing Quality." Journal of the American Statistical Association 65:330(1970): 532-48 JSIOR Web 5Dec. 2016
- Lee, Dorghun, Woochang Hyun, Jeongwoo Ryu, Woo Jung Lee, Wonjong Rine, and Bongwon Suh "An Analysis of Social Features Associated with Room Sales of Airbrb" Proceedings of The 18th ACM Conference Companion on Computer Supported Cooperative Wirk & Social Computing (2015): 21922: ACM Digital Library: Web 20 Feb 2016
- "NewYorkCityAnnal PropertyReportOctober 11, 2016" Aircha Np, nd Web 11 Oct 2016 <https://www.aircha.co/>.

OSullivan Arthu: Urban Economics 6thed Boston McGraw Hill/Irvin, 2007. Piirt

Stock, Janes H, and Mark W Watson Introduction to Econometrics Anded Boston

Reason/AddisonWesley, 2007. Print

Table 1: Variables and Descriptive Statistics

AC	Danny vaiable 1 if the Airbrb has air conditioning Oifitchesnot	0856	03514413	0	1

Dumy vaiable 1 if the Airbrb provides

Internet

PicpertyTypes5	Dunny vaiable 1 if the Airly bis a huse, Oif it is not	0002	00417214	0	1
PicpentyTypes6	Dunny vaiable 1 if the Airbrb is a loft, 0 if it is not	91.814	162716	14	100
PicpentyTypes7	Dummy variable 1 if the Airbrb is a townhouse, Oifitisnot	1732355	3150838	0	5100

Table 2 Regression 1 Results

Number of Observations = 470 F(4,465) = 7491 Pacb > F = 0000 R squared = 03809 Root MSE = .43658 Robust Standard Encos

lprice Coefficient	Std En.	t	P>t	ļ
--------------------	---------	---	-----	---

Table 4 Regression 3 Results

Number of Observations = 476 F(59) 415) = . Prob> F = . Required = 04122 Root MSE = . 19957 Robust Standard Encos

OccupanyRateLTM	Coefficient	Std Err.	t	P>t
White	00618387***	00217837	284	0005
lprice	-02516029***	00820265	-679	0000
NunberofReviews	00021339***	00008182	671	0000

Heating	00752769	00178915	1.57	0117
Essertials	00145394	00286715	051	0612
AC	-00496289	00858701	-138	0167
Cancellation1	-00086688	00813522	-028	0777
Cancellation?	0000000	(anitted)		
CancellationB	00267752	00231917	1.14	0255
ListingTypes1	0000000	(anitted)		

Table5 VariableGroups and F-Test Results

BaseAmenities	LuxuryAmenities
F(18, 415) = 089 p= 05928	F(12, 415) = 271, p= 00015